## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA Southern Division

)	Master File No.
)	CV 92-P-10000-S
)	
)	This document relates to the cases
)	listed in the appendix to this order
)	(and any other cases in which Koken
)	is a defendant against which claims
)	are to be pursued)
	) ) ) ) )

## ORDER No. 25A (Setting Evidentiary Hearing on Personal Jurisdiction over Koken)

The court has determined that Koken Company Ltd's challenge of personal jurisdiction, which for several years has been pending through a motion for reconsideration of the initial denial of its "global" motion to dismiss, should be resolved through evidentiary hearings on a case-by-case basis. These evidentiary hearings will be held in Birmingham, commencing at 9:00 a.m., Tuesday, July 20, 1999.

Listed in the appendix to this order are 31 cases in which, according to the court's records, there remain claims against Koken Company Ltd. which the plaintiffs have not indicated can be voluntarily dismissed.

Plaintiffs are requested to notify the court and Koken (through its attorney Lowell Fine) if they determine to voluntarily dismiss Koken from any of these cases rather than present their evidence to justify jurisdiction over Koken in the evidentiary hearing.

If the court has failed to list a case in which there is a claim sought to be pursued against Koken, plaintiff's counsel are requested to so notify the court and Koken (through its attorney Lowell Fine).

This the 9th day of June, 1999.

/s/ Sam C. Pointer, Jr. Chief Judge

Serve: Counsel shown on appendix Lowell S. Fine (Alembik, Fine & Callner) Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave. NE Atlanta, GA 30303 Robert Nieman Plaintiffs= Liaison Counsel Defendants= Liaison Counsel Post also on website

## Cases set for evidentiary hearing July 20, 1999, as to jurisdiction over Koken

ALN CaseNo Transferor Ct. Short	Caption Pltf Atty Namecode	
CV93-10321 LAMINA_R	D. MARIE DAVIS v. AESTHETECH	CUDZIK_W;
CV94-10605	PAIGE DE LOS SANTOS v. COX UPHOFF	CUDZIK_W
CV94-10629 MSS 2:93-00403 H	BURRIS v. AESTHETECH	BURRI_K*
CV94-10909	IRIS TUNICK v. AESTHETECH	CUDZIK_W
CV94-11312 TXS 3:94-00006 MELANC_R	ADAMS v. AESTHETECH	RAVKIN_S;
CV94-11315 TXS 3:94-00009 MELANC_R	WHITE v. AESTHETECH	RAVKIN_S;
CV94-11939 MSS 2:94-00074 DULIN_JA	DENNIS v. AESTHETECH	HAHN_BLA;
CV94-13496 NYS 1:94-02976	GOODEN v. DOW CORNING	KNOWLT_S
CV94-14505 GAN 1:94-02436	WYCOFF v. CORNING	MOORE_WN
CV94-14514 LAW 5:94-01714 (	GUTHRIE v. AESTHETECH	CLAWSO_W ;
CV94-14615	SUSAN I. WRIGHT v. POREX TECHNOLO	GIES CORY_ERN
CV94-14658 CABRAS_E	DONNA L. TURNER V. POREX TECHNOLO	GIES WAITE_DA;
CV94-14659	NEYSA LEWIS v. CORNING	WAITE_DA
CV94-14660	DENISE JOHNSON v. CORNING	WAITE_DA
CV95-10044 FLM 8:94-02034	DOORACK v. DOW CORNING	HUTTON_M
CV95-10052 OKW 5:95-00021	COOPER v. POREX MEDICAL	MUSE_LAR
CV95-10066	KAREN WARD v. DOW CORNING WRIGHT	PEDERS_M
CV95-10067		
0000 1000,	KRIS HILLER v. KOKEN	PEDERS_M
	KRIS HILLER V. KOKEN FRANCESCA CELANTANO V. DOW CORNING WR	—
CV95-10068 H		—

CV95-10088	OKN 4:94-01172	LOERTCHER v. DOW CORNING	HUTTON_M
CV95-10091 BROWN_CO	TXS 4:94-00068	HARRIS V. BAXTER INT'L	RAVKIN_S;
CV95-10119		SYBLE GANDY v. DOW CORNING	WAITE_DA
CV95-10409 NJ	2:95-02692 BA	US v. AESTHETECH WHELAN_G	;
CV95-11035	NJ 2:95-03236	STRANGIO v. DOW CORNING	PAHIGI_P
CV95-11041	NJ 2:95-03242	NOVRIT v. POREX TECHNOLOGIES	PAHIGI_P
CV95-11044	NJ 2:95-03246	CAUDLE v. DOW CORNING	LEVINS_W
CV95-11949	NJ 2:95-03570	RAK v. DOW CORNING	PAHIGI_P
CV97-10188	ELC	OIS CARDEN ET AL. v. POREX TECHNOL	OGIES CORY_ERN; SMITH_HI
CV97-10457	MIE 2:97-71165	HANNAH BECKHAM v. KOKEN COMPANY,	LIMITED

JANKS\_GR